Lanthanoid–Nickel–Phosphides with ThCr₂Si₂-Type Structure

WOLFGANG JEITSCHKO¹ AND BEATE JABERG

Institut für Anorganische und Analytische Chemie, Universität Giessen, D-6300 Giessen, West Germany

Received January 17, 1980

The new compounds YNi_2P_2 and $LnNi_2P_2$ (Ln = La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Sm, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm) were prépared by sintering the elemental components in silica tubes. Well-developed crystals were obtained using tin as a flux. They crystallize with the ThCr₂Si₂ (CeGa₂Al₂)-type structure which was refined from single-crystal X-ray data for EuNi₂P₂ to a conventional R value of 0.049 for 118 unique structure factors. While the P atoms in formally isotypic EuCo₂P₂ are isolated from each other, they form pairs in EuNi₂P₂. This results in a different c/a ratio and an entirely different bonding situation. A comparison of cell volumes shows that Eu in EuNi₂P₂ has an intermediate valence.

Introduction

Almost 300 silicides and germanides with the composition AB_2X_2 (A = earth and rare earth metals, actinoids; B = transition and posttransition metals; X = Si, Ge) are known to crystallize with the ThCr₂Si₂-type structure (1-25). Only very recently several corresponding compounds were reported where the X component is a pnicogen element (P, As, Sb): $CaNi_2P_2$ (26), $CaCu_2P_2$ (27), $BaZn_2P_2$ (28), $BaMn_2P_2$ (29), $BaMn_2As_2$ (30). A sampling investigation (31) of similar compositions with the lanthanoids as the most electropositive component yielded 15 new compounds with that structure including EuNi₂P₂, GdNi₂P₂, and YbNi₂P₂. We have now studied the formation of the lanthanoid-nickel phosphides with ThCr₂Si₂-type structure systematically.

0022-4596/80/150312-06\$02.00/0 Copyright © 1980 by Academic Press, Inc. All rights of reproduction in any form reserved. It was noted earlier (31) that the c/a ratio of the Ni-containing compounds was very different from that of the compounds with Fe or Co as transition metal component. To obtain a better understanding of this unexpected behavior, we have now refined the crystal structure of EuNi₂P₂ and compare it with the earlier reported structure of EuCo₂P₂.

Experimental

Sample preparation was essentially as reported before for similar compositions (31-36). Starting materials were the elemental components with stated purities >99.5%. Filings of the rare earth elements were prepared under argon. Stoichiometric mixtures of the components in finely divided form were sealed in silica tubes under vacuum, annealed for 2 weeks at 1050 K, and then slowly cooled to room temperature within 4 days. This procedure yielded only microcrystalline products. Well crystallized materials were obtained by adding

¹ Present address: Anorganische Chemie III, Universität Dortmund, Postfach 500500, D-4600 Dortmund 50, West Germany.

about 30 at% tin as a flux. The heat treatment was the same. The tin-rich matrix was dissolved in moderately diluted (1:1) hydrochloric acid, which did not greatly affect the crystals of the new compounds: electron microscopy showed them to have welldeveloped faces and sharp edges.

X-Ray powder diffraction patterns of the products were recorded with a Guinier camera using α -quartz as standard (a =4.9130, c = 5.4046 Å). They were indexed on the basis of the body-centered tetragonal cell and the lattice constants (Table I) were refined by a least-squares treatment of the data. Intensity calculations gave good agreement in all cases for ThCr₂Si₂-type structures. As an example the evaluation of a powder pattern for NdNi₂P₂ is shown in Table II.

Structure Refinement of EuNi₂P₂

Single crystals of $EuNi_2P_2$ were examined with Buerger precession and Weissenberg cameras. The diffraction patterns show a body-centered tetragonal cell with

TABLE I Cell Dimensions of Compounds with ThCr₂Si₂-Type Structure^a

	a (Å)	c (Å)	c/a	V (Å ³)	
YNi ₂ P ₂	3.859	9.332	2.418	139.0	
LaNi ₂ P ₂	4.007	9.632	2.404	154.6	
CeNi ₂ P ₂	3.955	9.505	2.403	148.7	
PrNi ₂ P ₂	3.952	9.493	2.402	148.3	
NdNi ₂ P ₂	3.942	9.461	2.400	147.0	
SmNi ₂ P ₂	3.919	9.403	2.399	144.4	
EuNi ₂ P ₂	3.938	9.469	2,404	146.8	
GdNi ₂ P ₂	3.892	9.372	2.408	142.0	
TbNi ₂ P ₂	3.870	9.342	2.414	139.9	
DyNi ₂ P ₂	3.857	9.332	2.419	138.8	
HoNi ₂ P ₂	3.844	9.329	2.427	137.9	
ErNi ₂ P ₂	3.830	9.303	2.429	136.5	
TmNi ₂ P ₂	3.823	9.310	2.435	136.1	
YbNi ₂ P ₂	3.834	9.323	1.432	137.0	

^a Standard deviations are all about ± 0.002 Å in a and ± 0.006 Å in c.

Γ.	A	В	L	Ε	I
L.	n	D	L	E	1.

Guinier Powder Pattern of $NdNi_2P_2$ with ThCr₂Si₂-Type Structure^a

hkl	\mathcal{Q}_{0}	Q _c	I _o	I _c
002		447		2
101	756	755	S	40
110	1284	1287	w	9
103	1650	1649	s	57
112	1736	1734	vs	100
004	1789	1788	vw	14
200	2578	2574	s	44
202		3021	_	1
114		3075	_	2
211	3331	3329	w	12
105	3438	3437	w	20
006		4022		<1
213	Koinz.	4223	m	30
204	4356	4362	w	15
220	5144	5148	w	17
116	Koinz.	5309	w	20

^a For the calculation of the powder pattern (37) the z parameter of the P position was assumed as obtained in the least-squares refinement of EuNi_2P_2 .

diffraction symmetry 4/mmm. No other extinctions were observed. The structure refinement gave no indication for a symmetry lower than I4/mmm.

Intensity data were collected from a crystal of square prismatic shape $(90 \times 90 \times 120 \ \mu m^3)$ with an automated four-circle diffractometer, monochromatized MoK α radiation, scintillation counter, and pulse-height discriminator. θ -2 θ scans were taken up to $2\theta = 80^{\circ}$. Background was counted at both ends of each scan. All reflections within a quarter sphere of reciprocal space were collected and averaged, thus yielding 122 unique reflections. An absorption correction was applied, assuming spherical crystal shape.

Starting parameters for the full-matrix least-squares refinement (38) were taken as obtained for $EuCo_2P_2$ (31). Scattering factors for neutral atoms (39) were used, corrected for anomaleous dispersion (40). The weighting scheme was according to counting statistics. An isotropic parameter ac-

TABLE III Results of the Least-Squares Refinement of the Crystal Structure of EuNi2P2ª						
	I4/mmm	x	у	Z	<i>B</i> (Å ²)	
Eu	2 <i>a</i>	0	0	0	0.54(2)	
Ni	4 <i>d</i>	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	4	0.58(4)	
Р	4e	0	0	0.3748(4)	0.79(7)	

^a Standard deviations in the least significant digits are given in parentheses.

counting for secondary extinction was refined and applied to the calculated structure factors. A final conventional R value of 0.049 was obtained for the 118 structure factors excluding four very weak reflections. A final difference Fourier analysis resulted in no peaks higher than 4.8 or lower than -10.9 e/Å^3 . These strong features were all in the vicinity of the heavy atom positions. The highest peaks at possible interstitial sites were all less than 1 e/Å³. Final parameters, a list of the structure factors, and interatomic distances are given in Tables III, IV, and V. A drawing of the structure is shown in Fig. 1.

Discussion

The most interesting result of the present work is the great difference in near-neighbor environments in EuNi₂P₂ when compared to the near-neighbor coordinations in the formally isotypic compound $EuCo_2P_2$. This results in an entirely different bonding situation. In $EuCo_2P_2$ (31) the shortest P-P distance is 3.27 Å and thus certainly nonbonding. Therefore a formal oxidation number of -3 can be assumed for the Ρ atoms and bonding can formally (where shared bonding electrons are counted as belonging to the more electronegative partner) be represented bv $Eu^{2+}Co^{2+}Co^{2+}P^{3-}P^{3-}$ (31). In $EuNi_2P_2$ the short P-P distance of 2.37 Å comes close to the typical two-electron bond distance of 2.21 Å (see for instance references 33, 40-



FIG. 1. Crystal structures and near-neighbor environments of $EuNi_2P_2$ and $EuCo_2P_2$. The outlined all-face-centered cells have double the volumes of the conventional body-centered cells.

43). Thus, to a first approximation, the P atoms may be considered as forming pairs, which according to Zintl's concept (44) results in the formal oxidation number -2 for the P atoms. Since the cell volume of EuNi₂P₂ exceeds its interpolated volume on the plot for the LnNi₂P₂ series (Fig. 2), Eu might be assumed divalent, and the compound could to a first approximation be rationalized with the formula Eu²⁺Ni¹⁺Ni¹⁺[P₂]⁴⁻. On closer inspection of the cell volumes of related compounds, however, an intermediate (nonintegral) valence is suggested for at least the Eu atoms in EuNi₂P₂.

STRUCTURE FACTORS FOR EuNi₂P₂ HKL FO FÇ HKL FO FC FO FĊ нк L з 0 Z ß -16 1 3 0 3 3 1 3 4 -6 77 - 3 5 D q Ô ģ q 0 5 0 0 1 0 1 1 1 0 0 2 1 0 з 2 2 1 0 1 3 1 0 Z 5 3 10 0 4 1 0 0 0 2 2 4 1 0 0 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 132 47 0 3 1 1 2 3 1 1 1 4 1 1 0 0 1 2 1 1 1 2 з 0 2 1 2 2 2 1 2 1 3 1 2 4 B 0 1 1 3 1 2 1 3 0 3 1 3 0 0 1 4 1 1 1 4 0 5 7 EUINIZP2

TABLE IV

An intermediate valence for Eu in a ThCr₂Si₂-type compound was first concluded from Mössbauer data for EuCu₂Si₂ (45) and confirmed by an X-ray photoemission study (46). In that compound the ^{151}Eu isomer shift changes continuously with temperature. It corresponds to Eu^{3+} at 4 K. and at 670 K it indicates an about equal population of Eu^{2+} and Eu^{3+} . In $EuFe_2Si_2$, EuCo₂Si₂, and EuNi₂Si₂ two ¹⁵¹Eu Mössbauer signals are observed corresponding to the two valence states of Eu. The relative amounts are different in the three compounds (21). Their cell volumes also reflect their intermediate valence character. These values are only between 0.2 and 4.1 Å³



FIG. 2. Cell volumes of $LnNi_2P_2$ compounds with ThCr₂Si₂-type structure vs the atomic number of the rare earth elements.

higher than the cell volumes interpolated for them from the corresponding Sm and Gd compounds (3, 5, 11, 22), where the rare earth elements are trivalent. In contrast the cell volumes of EuAg₂Si₂ (5), EuNi₂Ge₂ (2), and EuCu₂Ge₂ (21) where Eu is divalent (21) exceed the value interpolated from the Sm and Gd compounds (3, 5, 25) by between 9.6 and 11.1 Å³. Since the cell volume of EuNi₂P₂ is only 3.6 Å³ larger than the value interpolated from SmNi₂P₂ and GdNi₂P₂, it seems likely that some Eu is trivalent in EuNi₂P₂.

This is also supported by a comparison of the near-neighbor environments in $EuCo_2P_2$ and $EuNi_2P_2$. In both compounds the Eu

TABLE V

Interatomic Distances and Selected Interatomic Angles of $EuNi_2P_2$ as Compared to the Corresponding Values of $EuCo_2P_2$ (Given in Parentheses)^{*a*}

Eu:	81	P	3.026	(3.125)	P-Ni-P	(4×)	105.4	(106.8)
	81	Ni	3.079	(3.404)	P-Ni-P	(2×)	118.1	(115.0)
Ni:	4]	P	2.296	(2.233)	Ni-P-Ni	(4×)	74.6	(73.2)
	41	Ni	2.785	(2.662)	Ni-P-Ni	(2×)	118.1	(115.0)
	41	Eu	3.079	(3.404)	Ni-P-P	(4×)	121.0	(122,5)
P:	4]	Ni	2.296	(2.233)	Eu-P-Eu	(4×)	81.2	(74.1)
	11	P	2.371	(3.272)	Eu-P-Eu	(2×)	133.9	(116.9)
	4]	Eu	3.026	(3.125)				

^a All distances shorter than 3.5 Å are listed. Standard deviations are all less than 0.005 Å and 0.1°, respectively.

atoms are surrounded by 8 P and 8 T (Co, Ni) atoms. The interatomic distances of Eu to its neighbors in $EuCo_2P_2$ are considerably larger than the corresponding distances in the Ni compounds (Table V). Thus the valency of Eu in $EuNi_2P_2$ must be higher than its valency in $EuCo_2P_2$. In the latter compound Eu probably is divalent or very close to that; however, since the corresponding Sm and Gd cobalt phosphides are not known, no conclusions can be drawn from a comparison of cell volumes.

The near-neighbor environments of the Ni atoms in EuiNi₂P₂ also show some differences to the environments of the Co atoms in $EuCo_2P_2$. In both compounds the T atoms are approximately tetrahedrally coordinated by P atoms. It was expected (31)that the great difference in the c/a ratios (this ratio is 3.01 for EuCo₂P₂ and 2.40 for $EuNi_2P_2$) was due to the tendency of Ni^{2+} for square-planar coordination. However, as was discussed above, these differences are due to the entirely different bonding situation of the P atoms, which results in an oxidation number of less than +2 (possibly as low as +1) for Ni. Some Ni-Eu bonding may be present: the Eu-Ni distances of 3.08 Å are close to 3.05 Å, which is the sum of the metallic radii for coordination number 12 assuming trivalent Eu (47, 48).

Another interesting point is the cell volume of YNi_2P_2 . It fits between the volumes of TbNi₂P₂ and DyNi₂P₂. This is analogous to the situation in the corresponding $Ln Ni_2 Si_2$ compounds (2, 49) and other more or less "intermetallic," isotypic series of compounds, as for instance in the Ln_3Rh_2 compounds with Y_3Rh_2 -type structure (50) or in the $LnNi_3$ compounds with PuNi₃-type structure (51). In the series Ln_2Ni_7 (51) and $Ln_2Ni_{12}P_7$ (36) the cell volume of the Y compounds is relatively slightly larger and fits between the corresponding Gd and Tb compounds. In ionic compounds, however, the size of Y is relatively smaller. For fluorides and oxides the

radii of Y^{3+} fit between the radii of Ho^{3+} and Er^{3+} for the coordination number 6 and between Dy^{3+} and Ho^{3+} for the coordination numbers 8 and 9 (52).

Acknowledgment

We wish to thank Dr. M. Jansen for the collection of the intensity data. This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie.

References

- Z. BAN AND M. SIKIRICA, Acta Crystallogr. 18, 594 (1965).
- 2. O. I. BODAK, E. I. GLADYSHEVSKII, AND P. I. KRIPYAKEVICH, Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR. Neorg. Mater. 2, 2151 (1966).
- 3. W. RIEGER AND E. PARTHÉ, Monatsh. Chem. 100, 444 (1969).
- B. EISENMANN, N. MAY, W. MÜLLER, H. SCHÄ-FER, A. WEISS, J. WINTER, AND G. ZIEGLEDER, Z. Naturforsch. 25 b, 1350 (1970).
- 5. I. MAYER AND J. COHEN, J. Less-Common Metals 29, 221 (1972).
- N. MAY AND H. SCHÄFER, Z. Naturforsch. 27 b, 864 (1972).
- W. M. MCCALL, K. S. V. L. NARASIMHAN, AND R. A. BUTERA, J. Appl. Cryst. 6, 301 (1973).
- 8. I. MAYER, J. COHEN, AND I. FELNER, J. Less-Common Metals 30, 181 (1973).
- 9. S. PINTO AND H. SHAKED, *Phys. Rev. B* 7, 3261 (1973).
- K. S. V. L. NARASIMHAN, V. U. S. RAO, R. L. BERGNER, AND W. E. WALLACE, J. Appl. Phys. 46, 4957 (1975).
- 11. I. FELNER, I. MAYER, A. GRILL, AND M. SCHEIBER, Solid State Commun. 16, 1005 (1975).
- 12. I. FELNER, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 36, 1063 (1975).
- 13. R. BALLESTRACCI, C. R. Hebd. Seances Acad. Sci., Ser. B. 282, 291 (1976).
- 14. W. DÖRRSCHEIDT, N. NIESS, AND H. SCHÄFER, Z. Naturforsch. 31 b, 890 (1976).
- 15. J. GAL, M. KROUPP, Z. HADARI, AND I. NOWIK, Solid State Commun. 20, 421, 515 (1976).
- K. S. V. L. NARASIMHAN, V. U. S. RAO, AND W.
 E. WALLACE, AIP Conf. Proc. 1976, 29, 594.
- S. G. SANKAR, S. K. MALIK, V. U. S. RAO, AND R. OBERMYER, AIP Conf. Proc. 1976, 34, 236.
- S. K. MALIK, S. G. SANKAR, V. U. S. RAO, AND R. OBERMYER, AIP Conf. Proc. 1976, 29, 585.
- R. MARAZZA, R. FERRO, G. RAMBALDI, AND G. ZANICCHI, J. Less-Common Metals 53, 193 (1977).

- I. MAYER AND P. D. YETOR, J. Less-Common Metals 55, 171 (1977).
- I. MAYER AND I. FELNER, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 38, 1031 (1977).
- 22. D. ROSSI, R. MARAZZA, AND R. FERRO, J. Less-Common Metals 58, 203 (1978).
- 23. D. ROSSI, R. MARAZZA, D. MAZZONE, AND R. FERRO, J. Less-Common Metals 59, 79 (1978).
- 24. R. BALLESTRACCI, C. R. Hebd. Seances Acad. Sci. Ser. B 286, 109 (1978).
- 25. D. ROSSI, R. MARAZZA, AND R. FERRO, J. Less-Common Metals 66, P17 (1979).
- 26. A. MEWIS, Z. Naturforsch. 32 b, 351 (1977).
- A. MEWIS AND P. BLUMSTENGEL, Z. Naturforsch. 33 b, 671 (1978).
- P. KLÜFERS AND A. MEWIS, Z. Naturforsch. 33 b, 151 (1978).
- 29. A. MEWIS, Z. Naturforsch. 33 b, 606 (1978).
- 30. E. BRECHTEL, G. CORDIER, AND H. SCHÄFER, Z. Naturforsch. 33 b, 820 (1978).
- 31. R. MARCHAND AND W. JEITSCHKO, J. Solid State Chem. 24, 351 (1978).
- 32. W. JEITSCHKO AND D. J. BRAUN. Acta Crystallogr. B 33, 3401 (1977).
- 33. D. J. BRAUN AND W. JEITSCHKO, Acta Crystallogr. B 34, 2069 (1978).
- 34. W. JEITSCHKO, D. J. BRAUN, R. H. ASHCRAFT, AND R. MARCHAND, J. Solid State Chem. 25, 309 (1978).
- 35. R. RÜHL AND W. JEITSCHKO, Mater. Res. Bull. 14, 513 (1979).
- 36. W. JEITSCHKO AND B. JABERG, Z. anorg. allg. Chem. 467, 95 (1980).
- 37. K. YVON, W. JEITSCHKO, AND E. PARTHÉ, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 10, 73 (1977).

- G. SHELDRICK, SHELX-76, unpublished program system for the determination of crystal structures (1976).
- 39. D. T. CROMER AND J. B. MANN, Acta Crystallogr. A 24, 321 (1968).
- D. T. CROMER AND D. LIBERMAN, J. Chem. Phys. 53, 1891 (1970).
- 41. W. JEITSCHKO, Acta Crystallogr. B 30, 2565 (1974).
- 42. D. J. BRAUN AND W. JEITSCHKO, Z. anorg. allg. Chem. 445, 157 (1978).
- 43. W. JEITSCHKO AND M. H. MÖLLER, Acta Crystallogr. B 35, 573 (1979).
- 44. H. SCHÄFER, B. EISENMANN, AND W. MÜLLER, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 12, 694 (1973).
- 45. E. R. BAUMINGER, D. FROINDLICH, I. NOWIK, S. OFER, I. FELNER, AND I. MAYER, *Phys. Rev.* 30, 1053 (1973).
- K. H. J. BUSCHOW, M. CAMPAGNA, AND G. K. WERTHEIM, Solid State Commun. 24, 253 (1977).
- 47. E. TEATUM, K. GSCHNEIDNER, AND J. WABER, LA-2345, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D. C.
- W. B. PEARSON, "The Crystal Chemistry and Physics of Metals and Alloys." John Wiley, New York (1972).
- 49. O. I. BODAK, V. I. YAROVETS, AND E. I. GLA-DYSHEVSKII, Tezisy Dokl.-Vses. Konf. Kristallokhim. Intermet. Soedin., 2nd, p. 32 (1974). C. A. 82, 129925c (1975).
- 50. J.-M. MOREAU, D. PACCARD, AND E. PARTHÉ, Acta Crystallogr. B 32, 1767 (1976).
- 51. K. H. J. BUSCHOW AND A. S. VAN DER GOOT, J. Less-Common Metals 22, 419 (1970).
- 52. R. D. SHANNON, Acta Crystallogr. A 32, 751 (1976).